



# CITIZENS for REGIONAL TRANSIT NEWS

published by Citizens Regional Transit Corporation  
617 Main Street, Buffalo, NY 14203  
contact: crtc@citizenstransit.org http://citizenstransit.org/

Volume #10 Issue #4

May, 2008

## UB Transit: Now and Future

At the Community Transportation Summit, May 7, held at the Hyatt Regency, Buffalo, UB's President John Simpson spoke about the university's ambitious plans for 2020, to expand the campus by 10,000 students. His talk included an analysis of the transportation needs of the plan.

Simpson rejected continuing the current auto-dependent mode, saying that more cars would turn the campus into isolated buildings in a sea of parking lots. He supported the concept of extending the light rail to the North Campus, as originally planned, and suggested that bicycles could become the preferred transportation mode for students within the North Campus.

He also touted the possibility of making the current shuttle bus service between campuses more environmentally friendly. At that point, the CRTC members in the audience sighed in frustration.

Why wait until 2020 to solve the shuttle bus dilemma at UB?

Why not begin the future now?  
Why not have UB contract with the NFTA to provide student transportation between campuses?

A recent UB graduate reports that the university runs shuttle buses between the South Campus and the Medical Campus downtown, as well as the familiar runs between South and North.

Such duplication is a scandal that contradicts UB's goals--financial, environmental, academic, community.

A contract by UB with the NFTA now to provide student transportation will affirm the intentions of the university to make good on its laudable plans for 2020.

Transit passes for UB students, now!  
Go green, UB!!

\*\*\*\*\*

## CRTC Monthly Meeting

**Friday, May 16  
12:30 Noon**

### "Promoting Public Transit"

by

**James Lynch, NFTA Marketing Manager**

Metro marketing manager at the NFTA, James Lynch, will present the latest campaign by Metro Bus and Rail which promotes public transit and encourages even more ridership.

Mr. Lynch joined the NFTA in 2005 with an extensive background in marketing for several companies in the Buffalo area, notably WKBW-AM Radio and Crowley Webb & Associates. He and his family reside in East Aurora

\*\*\*\*\*

### Citizens Regional Transit meets at:

**3330 Main Street, corner NF Blvd., Buffalo**

University Presbyterian Church education wing  
Enter through the parking lot door, take elevator to "ML."

**CRTC meetings are free and open to the public.**

\*\*\*\*\*

# Bush Administration Censors Pro-Electric Rail Report

*deleted section from Federal Commission report, Transportation for Tomorrow, issued 12-07*

*The US Department of Transportation deleted a section that supported light rail from the report Transportation for Tomorrow, issued 12-07, ([http://www.transportationfortomorrow.org/final\\_report](http://www.transportationfortomorrow.org/final_report)).*

*That deleted section is reprinted here:*

## The Case for Public Transportation

It is the view of the Commission that public transportation, especially in the form of electric railways, must and will play a significantly larger role in Americans' mobility. Federal transportation policy should not only accommodate but encourage this development.

Many of the factors leading to an increased role for public transportation are widely recognized. They include:

\*\* Increasing traffic congestion, especially in urban areas. In addition to decreasing quality of life, traffic congestion imposes real economic costs. According to the Texas Transportation Institute's 2007 Urban Mobility Report, delays per peak period traveler increased from 14 hours per year in 1982 to 38 hours in 2005 for all

urban areas in the United States. For the 14 largest urban areas, delay has risen from 21 hours per peak period traveler in 1982 to 54 hours in 2005.

\*\* The failure of many urban areas to meet Federally-mandated air quality standards. A shift of commuter travel from private automobiles to electric railways (which include some commuter rail, Heavy Rail (subways) Light Rail and streetcars) can play a significant role in reducing air pollution. "Public Transportation's Contribution to U.S. Greenhouse Gas Reduction," published by SAIC [Science Applications International Corporation] in 2007, finds that a solo commuter switching his commute to existing public transportation can reduce his CO2 emissions by 20 pounds in a single day or more than 4,800 pounds in a year. Greater savings would result from new electric rail service powered by non-fossil fuel generated electricity.

\*\* The difficulty of constructing new urban freeways in the face of land use, right-of-way cost and environmental obstacles. As has repeatedly been demonstrated, the phenomenon of "suppressed demand" quickly leads to renewed congestion on any new freeways that can be built. "Generated Traffic and Induced Travel Implications for Transport Planning," published by the Victoria Transport Policy Institute in 2007, described research reports that found new highways would attract enough traffic to be filled to capacity or near capacity within a few years after they opened.

\*\* The negative impact of automobiles and especially of limited-access highways on urban vitality, which contrasts strongly with the ability of electric railways generally and streetcar systems in particular to stimulate urban redevelopment.

\*\* The rising price of gasoline, which leads commuters away from the private automobile and towards increased use of public transportation. To these well-known factors pointing toward greater reliance on mass transit, a highly important new consideration must be added: national security. Americans' dependence on automobiles fueled largely with imported oil is the Achilles' heel of our current foreign and national security policy. Rising oil prices threaten the prosperity of our economy, with dependence on oil imported from unstable regions adding the risks of actual fuel cutoffs, limited foreign policy options, and wars over oil sources and supplies. The Energy Information Administration reported that 71 million barrels of petroleum were imported from the Persian Gulf region in June of 2007, 18 percent of all petroleum imports....

In the face of the Global War on Terrorism, providing Americans with mobility that is not dependent on foreign oil may be second in importance only to securing our homeland against direct terrorist attack....

As we look toward increasing reliance on public transportation, we must recognize that all public transit is not alike. In particular, public policy must acknowledge that buses and rail transit are not fungible. In addition to the obvious advantage of electrification, rail transit, including streetcars, light rail, heavy rail and commuter rail (which should in most cases be electrified once certain densities are reached) serve different markets and perform different functions from buses.

Key differences between bus and rail transit include:

\*\* Rail transit has repeatedly demonstrated its success in drawing riders from choice, people who have a car and could drive but choose to take transit instead, while buses generally carry only the transit-dependent, those who have no other way to get around. This means that rail transit, but not buses, has a significant potential impact on traffic congestion. For whatever reasons, it is a fact that most Americans like riding trains and streetcars but do

---

## Citizens Regional Transit Corp.

aims to improve and expand bus and rail transit for all of WNY through citizen involvement and education.

Join us! Membership dues are fully tax-deductible:

corporate	\$150.00
household	35.00
individual	25.00
student	5.00

send check to:

**CITIZENS REGIONAL TRANSIT CORP.**  
c/o John K. Howell, treasurer  
289 Ashford Avenue  
Tonawanda, NY 14150-8563

---

not like riding buses. If our national transportation policy is to be realistic, it must take this fact into account. A "Profile of Public Transportation Passenger Demographics and Travel Characteristics" reported in On-Board Surveys, published by the American Public Transportation Association in 2007, reported that 38 percent of bus and paratransit riders had an automobile available when they took a transit trip while 58% of rail travelers had an automobile available for their trip.

\*\* Rail transit, but not buses, has a demonstrated ability to spur development and, importantly, redevelopment in urban cores. Streetcar systems, which can be built inexpensively, have shown a particularly strong and positive impact on urban redevelopment. Portland Streetcar: Development Oriented Transit, prepared by the Portland, Oregon, Office of Transportation and Portland Streetcar, Inc., in 2006, found that since 1997 \$2.3 billion had been invested within two blocks of the streetcar right-of-way, including 7,248 new housing units and 4.6 million square feet of office, institutional, retail, and hotel construction. The Little Rock, Arkansas, Regional Chamber of Commerce, in "About Little Rock," calls the River Rail streetcar line, which opened in 2004, a "magnet for new businesses and development, another attraction for large conventions and one of several jewels in the restoration of two reviving downtown areas."

\*\* While bus lines can be electrified, very few have been. In contrast, most new rail transit projects envision electric railways of one variety or another. In our view, both environmental and national security considerations should lead Federal transportation policy to favor electrified over non-electrified modes of travel. What changes in Federal transportation policy do the above considerations suggest? First and foremost, Federal policy should include a clear and unambiguous endorsement of a shift away from the private automobile to public transportation for travel in urban areas. It should be the objective of the Federal government to bring all aspects of transportation policy in line to support and encourage this shift, including provision of adequate resources.

Further, it should become Federal government policy to encourage the growth and spread of electrified rail transit as something that contributes directly to national security as well as strengthens efforts to redevelop our nation's urban cores. "Public Transit in America: Analysis of Access Using the 2001 National Household Travel Survey," published in 2007 by the Center for Urban Transportation Research, found that 53 percent of U.S. households were within one mile of bus service and 40 percent were within one-quarter mile, but only 10 percent of the population lives within one mile of rail transit. National security considerations suggest that funding the spread of electrified rail transit should be considered a national security function, at least in part.

These recommendations in turn suggest at least two actions be undertaken immediately. First, FTA criteria for the evaluation of requests for funding for electric rail projects, especially streetcars, should be re-written to take all relevant factors into account, including development impact, and to remove criteria that are not relevant, such as time of travel for streetcars. Second, the Small Starts funding program, which originated as the Blumenauer bill, should be returned to its original purpose, which was to encourage new streetcar systems. A streetcar system is a logical first step toward electrified rail transit for cities that currently have no rail transit, which means such new starts should receive especially strong encouragement in

Federal transportation policy.

More broadly, Federal support for public transportation generally and electrified rail transit in particular should be made automatic, based on the population of the area served. For example, a city of 50,000 might qualify for automatic approval for a bus system (preferably with electric buses); an urban area of 100,000 for streetcars; of 250,000 for Light Rail. FTA approval would not be required for proposals fitting within each category (it would still be necessary for projects that lay outside the approved categories, e.g., Light Rail for a city of 50,000). Currently, a total of 72 urbanized areas have one or more types of rail service. There are 266 urbanized areas with populations greater than 100,000, of which just 27 currently have Light Rail service. 128 of those have populations greater than 250,000, of which only 26 have Light Rail service. Five of the largest urbanized areas without Light Rail do have Heavy Rail Systems, which are the basic level of rail service for those very large areas.

Most of those cities once had electric railways. They lost them, not to the fair market, but to massive government intervention in favor of highways and cars. As early as 1921, government was pouring \$1.4 billion into highways. In contrast, the vast majority of electric railways were privately owned, received no government assistance and had to pay taxes. Further, their fares were often controlled by local governments, which did not allow them to rise despite inflation. As a result, by 1919 one-third of the country's streetcar companies were bankrupt. After World War II, many local governments completed the destruction of their community's electric railways by pressuring transit companies to convert to buses. Bus conversion in turn led many former transit riders to drive instead.

As federal policy is amended to reflect its support for public transportation as the preferred approach to urban mobility, with a strong focus on electric railways, many other specific policies will change with it. In the long term, it should be the objective of Federal transportation policy to provide every American the option of mobility without an automobile. In a 21st century where oil supplies will be increasingly uncertain, such a policy will give our country needed security in the form of security of mobility. For a nation as dependent on mobility as America, security of mobility is as important as security of life, liberty and property.

*[End of excised section]*

## Mid-Year Report, CRTC Activities

The CRTC is actively involved in several transit-related activities in the Buffalo-Niagara region. Recent developments include:

\* monitor meetings of the Greater Buffalo-Niagara Regional Transportation Council (GBNRTC), and recently co-found with VOICE Buffalo the Regional Advisory Group to represent the civic community at the GBNRTC;

\* participate in the Advisory Committee to the Town of Cheektowaga as they develop their Master Plan, to urge inclusion of Metro Rail expansion to the Buffalo-Niagara International Airport;

\* support and monitor the NFTA's commitment to update the Strategic Transit Assessment (first released in 2001), thereby allow the NFTA to begin active planning for Metro Rail expansion;

\* participate in opportunities to evaluate the transportation features of UB's 2020 Plan, including completion of light rail to the North Campus;

\* offer testimony to NYSDOT and actively engage NYS legislators in discussions around the issue of funding public transit.

In addition, the CRTC offers educational presentations to interested community groups, on the benefits of public transit.

\*\*\*\*\*

### Progress on the Cobblestone Loop Feasibility Study!

In 2008, the CRTC continues our fundraiser for the Cobblestone Loop feasibility study, to be prepared by Stone Consulting. Our goal is \$9,750. We have raised \$4,300 since mid-April, 2007. As soon as the money is in hand, the work will begin and is expected to be completed in about three months.

Help us reach our goal!

Send your donation to the CRTC treasurer, ASAP!

For more information, see the CRTC web page, *[citizenstransit.org](http://citizenstransit.org)*.

---

### Citizens Regional Transit Corporation

617 Main Street  
Buffalo, NY 14203

*return service requested*

## CALENDAR

**May 16 12:30 noon**  
**CRTC Monthly Meeting**  
**3330 Main Street, Buffalo**

June 1 BuffaloFirst 6:00-7:30 PM  
"Green Transportation"  
Screening of "The End of  
Suburbia:" Discussion to follow.  
Guest speaker: Justin Booth,  
Buffalo Blue Bicycles, others TBA  
For location and info,  
[www.buffalofirst.org](http://www.buffalofirst.org).

June 4 PCC meeting of GBNRTC

**June 20 12:30 noon**  
**CRTC Monthly Meeting**  
**3330 Main Street, Buffalo**